

The Patriots' Truth

Flint Hills TEA Party News

See us at McALISTER'S DELI, EVERY WED after 5:30pm ---- *Welcome, everyone, we ARE the Working Group. Monthly meetings, 2nd Saturday of each month. Always interesting & informative!! Next meeting: July 13 – 9:30AM, Manhattan City Library Auditorium. We intend to have another film or a speaker/candidate talk/visit/with us. Come listen & express your concerns & views, help US help each other. We MUST continue to support our Schools & Communities!! Regardless of what happens in DC we MUST keep God in our Schools/Communities & most of all in our Hearts!! Much is still to be accomplished in D.C. and at the State Capital in the Governor's hands -- may God guide them all.*

Bipartisan Email Privacy Act Gaining Momentum

In Case You Missed It, I co-authored an op-ed in WIRED online magazine about my [Email Privacy Act](#), legislation that would update electronic communications privacy law. Currently, government agencies like the IRS and SEC [do not need to secure a warrant](#) to read your emails. [Click here](#) to read our op-ed.

"Fundamentally, the Email Privacy Act would ensure that the Fourth Amendment protections Americans already have for mail, phone calls, and other paper/ hard documents are extended to their soft communications too." [Bipartisan Email Privacy Act Gaining Momentum](#)

From KS Congressman Tim Huelskamp. Help him if you can, he has remained loyal & faithful to Kansans!!!

June 25, 2013

Dear Friend,

Washington politicians must be deaf, dumb and blind!

Washington has no sense of what matters to Americans. Politicians go through the motions when we protest to their neglect and corruption. But it falls on deaf ears. Worse, in this economy, they refuse to see the real pain Americans are suffering in this never-ending, post-recession sputtering Obama economy.

The average citizen is facing financial insecurity or even ruin. They work hard, care for their family. But the numbers cannot lie: 47 million people on food stamps, 13.8 million unemployed or underemployed, taxes on the rise and a \$17 trillion debt. Washington has a "hear no evil, see no evil, say no evil" attitude about it because they won't lift a finger to change course. [And America is outraged!](#)

These are serious times. And serious leadership is needed so we can renew our resolve to respect for the constitution and give Americans the freedom to pursue their dreams.

[I am facing a critical deadline at the end of the month. I need 100 people to contribute \\$100 right now.](#) Maybe you can contribute \$50 or even \$25. Whatever you can give, please do so right away so I can meet our end of quarter fundraising goals. Your contributions allow me to take these strong stands for the family, for liberty and against an ever-increasing Obama takeover of our lives. Please send your most generous contribution now to help me meet our important goal.

America is in a state of chaos. I hear and see the signs all the time. It's not only the anger we have about the IRS Scandal, Benghazi, NSA taking our phone records and about half a dozen other Obama scandals. I hear it in the emails and phone calls I receive and the hundreds of town halls I've conducted over the last two-and-a-half years. People are frustrated with Washington. They've had enough! And so have I!

I hear it from businessmen who tell me they can't hire more people because regulation and taxes are taking away opportunities for growth. And many employers who have businesses just below 50 employees (the threshold where Obamacare causes their healthcare costs to skyrocket)--well, they just can't afford to hire more people. This is the story of an Obama economy where recovery is slow if it even comes at all.

You can count on me to never compromise when it comes to defending our liberties. I won't back down. And I am committed to helping my fellow members of Congress who share this commitment.

When I take an uncompromising stand for conservative principles in DC, there is a price to be paid. But you have

allowed me to continue fighting for our freedoms because of your financial support of my campaign. [Please send the most generous contribution you can right now. Help me meet this goal of 100 people giving at least \\$100.](#) If you can only contribute \$50 or \$25 or \$10, that would be fantastic too.

We are literally fighting for our freedom right now. Obama and his cronies want to steal our liberties and choose what rights they will allow. That is completely contrary to the freedoms God gave us that our founding fathers knew belonged to the people.

Let's keep freedoms in the hands of Americans and out of the hands of Washington politicians and bureaucrats.

Thank you again for your continued support which has allowed me to become a conservative leader in Washington.

Tim Huelskamp

Member of Congress

P.S. This is a very critical time. There are many in the Washington Establishment working to find an opponent against us - and we need to send them a strong message right now! [So I need 100 people giving \\$100 to help me meet the End-of-Quarter goal my finance team has set for us. Please send your best gift \(up to the maximum \\$2,600 per individual\) as soon as possible.](#)

"At the establishment of our constitutions, the judiciary bodies were supposed to be the most helpless and harmless members of the government. Experience, however, soon showed in what way they were to become the most dangerous; that the insufficiency of the means provided for their removal gave them a freehold and irresponsibility in office; that their decisions, seeming to concern individual suitors only, pass silent and unheeded by the public at large; that these decisions, nevertheless, become law by precedent, sapping, by little and little, the foundations of the constitution, and working its change by construction, before any one has perceived that that invisible and helpless worm has been busily employed in consuming its substance. In truth, man is not made to be trusted for life, if secured against all liability to account."
--Thomas Jefferson, letter to Monsieur A. Coray, 1823

The Wichita Eagle [Kansas.com](#) **Kansas Star Casino tops \$180 million in gambling**

revenue for 2012 By Fred Mann, The Wichita Eagle, Published Wednesday, April 24, 2013, at 8:42 p.m., Updated Monday, May 20, 2013, at 3:46 p.m.

The Kansas Star Casino has had to kick in an extra 2% in state taxes after exceeding \$180 million in gambling revenue during its fiscal year of Jan. 1, 2012, to Dec. 31, 2012.

By topping that benchmark, the Mulvane casino is required by its contract to pay the state 24% of its gambling revenue instead of the 22% mandated by law. The 2% bump means the casino has paid \$63,998 in additional taxes to the state since December 2012.

The contract requires another 2% increase, up to 26%, if revenues exceed \$220 million in a fiscal year. The Kansas Star has earned \$241.6 million since opening in December 2011. It continued a strong 2013 by taking in \$19 million in March, according to the Kansas Lottery. It earned \$17 million in February and \$16.4 million in January. Boyd Gaming, which manages the casino, cited it on Wednesday as a factor for a rise in Boyd's net revenues during the first quarter. The Las Vegas-based company reported revenues of \$737 million for the quarter, up from \$633.1 million in the same quarter last year, a 16.4% increase. The company's stock rose more than 22% in trading Wednesday.

During a conference call with investors, Paul Chakmak, Boyd's chief operating officer, said the Kansas Star generated "solid revenue growth" since it opened its permanent casino in December with new food and beverage amenities.

He also said that while its operating margins remain the highest in the company, "they were impacted in the first quarter by higher expenses associated with these new amenities, as well as increased marketing expense. Marketing spend was unusually low during Kansas Star's introductory period in early 2012, and this quarter's results reflect more realistic customer reinvestment levels."

Chakmak said the Kansas Star remains on track to generate about \$100 million annually in earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization.

He also said visitation should grow when the casino opens its arena in late June with seating for more than 6,000 people. An arena of that size "can generate significant customer traffic," he said, citing as an example the Orleans Hotel and Casino – Boyd's largest casino in Las Vegas – which has an arena with seating for up to 9,500. Read more here: <http://www.kansas.com/2013/04/24/2776412/kansas-star-casino-tops-180-million.html#storylink=cpy>

Texas Girl With A Plan

This approach would certainly shift a lot of problems...!!!

"IF YOU CAN'T FIX IT WITH A HAMMER, YOU'VE GOT AN ELECTRICAL PROBLEM"

WRITTEN BY A 21 YEAR OLD FEMALE - Wow, this girl has a great plan! Love the last thing she would do the best.

This was written by a 21 yr old female who gets it. It's her future she's worried about and this is how she feels about the social welfare big government state that she's being forced to live in! These solutions are just common sense in her opinion.

This was in the Waco Tribune Herald, Waco , TX , Nov 18, 2011

PUT ME IN CHARGE . . .

Put me in charge of food stamps. I'd get rid of Lone Star cards; no cash for Ding Dongs or Ho Ho's, just money for 50-pound bags of rice and beans, blocks of cheese and all the powdered milk you can haul away. If you want steak and frozen pizza, then get a job.

Put me in charge of Medicaid. The first thing I'd do is to get women Norplant birth control implants or tubal ligations. Then, we'll test recipients for drugs, alcohol, and nicotine. If you want to reproduce or use drugs, alcohol, or smoke, then get a job.

Put me in charge of government housing. Ever live in a military barracks? You will maintain our property in a clean and good state of repair. Your "home" will be subject to inspections anytime and possessions will be inventoried. If you want a plasma TV or Xbox 360, then get a job and your own place.

In addition, you will either present a check stub from a job each week or you will report to a "government" job. It may be cleaning the roadways of trash, painting and repairing public housing, whatever we find for you. We will sell your 22-inch rims and low profile tires and your blasting stereo and speakers and put that money toward the "common good."

Before you write that I've violated someone's rights, realize that all of the above is voluntary. If you want our money, accept our rules. Before you say that this would be "demeaning" and ruin their "self esteem," consider that it wasn't that long ago that taking someone else's money for doing absolutely nothing was demeaning and lowered self esteem.

If we are expected to pay for other people's mistakes we should at least attempt to make them learn from their bad choices. The current system rewards them for continuing to make bad choices.

AND while you are on Gov't subsistence, you no longer can VOTE! Yes, that is correct. For you to vote would be a conflict of interest. You will voluntarily remove yourself from voting while you are receiving a Gov't welfare check. If you want to vote, then get a job.

Now, if you have the guts - PASS IT ON...

ObamaCare - Part 3

By: David J Powell, CLU, ChFC, CFP, RHU
Candidate for Kansas Insurance Commissioner 2014

A majority of these people are on or will expect to get on Medicaid. However, many of the states, including Kansas, are NOT expanding their eligibility for Medicaid. It is my belief that the states that are expanding eligibility will suffer greatly when the promises made within the law for Federal funding disappear! Specifically, that the Federal government will pay 100% of the cost of the expansion for the 1st 3 years and 90% for the next 3 years will disappear, perhaps within the 1st year. There simply isn't any money to fund that expansion.

But the foxes in the hen house took care of that problem by including a "Maintenance of Effort" clause in that section. This clause REQUIRES a state to continue to fund at the same level should the Federal government fail to meet their commitment.

That's another article all of its own.

So why are these people hurt the most? They are caught in no man's land. Too much income to qualify for Medicaid, not enough to pay their share of the premiums even should they qualify for a subsidy.

Many will not qualify for any subsidy they think they will get, because they do not file a tax return. Subsidy determination is dependent upon information contained in their tax returns.

If they did not file in 2013, there is nothing to show to qualify for the 2014 subsidy.



In June of 2013, several news sources reported that more than 64% of those eligible to purchase insurance through the exchanges will choose not to. A majority are the same young “bullet proof” people who are not currently buying health insurance. They don’t care about subsidies.

Who is the doctoral candidate that believes these young people will step up and pay 300% or 400% more in 2014 than the price of health insurance today, when they don’t buy it now?

But there will be people who do buy the insurance, get a subsidy and pay a little amount as their share. YES there will be. And they are going to have a major problem come 2015.

A majority will find that they are getting repayment notices from the IRS. WHY? Because a “glitch” in the law says that if your income increases during the year, as most hope it will, then your subsidy amount will decrease. At the end of the year, that qualifier will find they have received too much subsidy and thus OWE A REFUND to the government.

ObamaCare is truly designed to keep the poor – poor.

If a person gets a raise, they lose.

Who, then, is this law helping? NO ONE!

California Man Facing Possible Charges For Defending Himself And His Son

Posted on June 26, 2013 by Philip Hodges Filed under [2Nd Amendment](#), [Crime](#), [Email Featured](#), [Gun Control](#), [Law](#), [Law Enforcement](#)

Thomas Manzano and his buddy had been out drinking for several hours when they decided to crash at what they thought was the vacant apartment where Thomas’s friend had been squatting. Thomas banged on the front door when he couldn’t get in, and the tenant who lived there opened the door. It was 3 o’clock in the morning. The resident turned Manzano away, and Manzano threatened the man before he closed the door on him. Obviously, it wasn’t the apartment they thought it was. I guess at that point in their intoxication, they were very easily confused, to say the least.

But Manzano didn’t give up. He decided to break in the apartment through a bedroom window where the resident’s adult son was staying. Manzano threatened and started to attack the man’s son, and when the resident heard the commotion from another room, he grabbed his gun and hurried over to his son’s bedroom and put 3 rounds in the drunk man. He was taken to the hospital and pronounced dead shortly thereafter.

Manzano’s family is upset, saying that he was only confused and just made a mistake. He didn’t deserve to die. Besides, he wasn’t even armed, so it wasn’t fair. The drunk man’s Uncle Alfonso remarked, “Just because you have a gun and it’s registered, doesn’t give you the right to kill somebody.”

The police are investigating this case as a homicide. Granted, homicide is a broad term that refers to the killing of another person, whether justified or not. But the district attorney will be deciding whether the resident’s shooting and killing of the intruder was justified. NBC Los Angeles [reported](#):

“According to California law, citizens have a right to protect themselves and their families, but there are conditions. ‘The homeowner, the citizen, has to be able to articulate or apply the appropriate amount of force that was done against them,’ Ontario [California] Police Sgt. David McBride said. Police said Manzano was unarmed at the time of the shooting.”

I don’t really know what that police sergeant meant, but I think he means that in this case, the apartment resident may have taken it too far, because the intruder wasn’t armed. So, shooting and killing the man was not the “appropriate amount of force” considering the minimal threat that Manzano posed.

But there’s no way the resident could have known that Manzano posed little threat to them. He even gave the

man a chance to go away. And Manzano decided to break and enter and then threaten and attack the man's son. When you do that, I don't care how inebriated or "confused" you are, expect to be shot at. Expect to die.

The gun-owner did the right thing. And let's hope the district attorney and prosecutor do the right thing and refuse to press any charge against the man for defending himself and his son.

Read more: <http://godfatherpolitics.com/11455/california-man-facing-possible-charges-for-defending-himself-and-his-son/#ixzz2XOoSoTMQ>

I received this article in email, then when I searched for the date it was printed in the Wall St. Journal, I found statements saying it never was printed. Also, statements saying it was printed on various dates. I, honestly, DO NOT KNOW if or when it was printed in the Wall Street Journal, however, I do think it is well written and worth your read. Enjoy and think about it.

Wall Street Journal Sizes Up Obama Article from the Wall Street Journal - by Alan Caruba:

A "deadly" article regarding Obama, at the Wall Street Journal, which today is the most widely circulated newspaper in America.

"I have this theory about Barack Obama. I think he's led a kind of make-believe life in which money was provided and doors were opened because at some point early on somebody or some group (George Soros anybody?) took a look at this tall, good looking, half-white, half-black, young man with an exotic African/Muslim name and concluded he could be guided toward a life in politics where his facile speaking skills could even put him in the White House.

In a very real way, he has been a young man in a very big hurry. Who else do you know has written two memoirs before the age of 45? "Dreams of My Father" was published in 1995 when he was only 34 years old. The "Audacity of Hope" followed in 2006. If, indeed he did write them himself. There are some who think that his mentor and friend, Bill Ayers, a man who calls himself a "communist with a small 'c'" was the real author.

His political skills consisted of rarely voting on anything that might be deemed controversial. He went from a legislator in the Illinois legislature to the Senator from that state because he had the good fortune of having Mayor Daley's formidable political machine at his disposal.

He was in the U.S. Senate so briefly that his bid for the presidency was either an act of astonishing self-confidence or part of some greater game plan that had been determined before he first stepped foot in the Capital. How, many must wonder, was he selected to be a 2004 keynote speaker at the Democrat convention that nominated John Kerry when virtually no one had ever even heard of him before?

He outmaneuvered Hillary Clinton in primaries. He took Iowa by storm. A charming young man, an anomaly in the state with a very small black population, he oozed "cool" in a place where agriculture was the antithesis of cool. He dazzled the locals. And he had an army of volunteers drawn to a charisma that hid any real substance.

And then he had the great good fortune of having the Republicans select one of the most inept candidates for the presidency since Bob Dole. And then John McCain did something crazy. He picked Sarah Palin, an unknown female governor from the very distant state of Alaska. It was a ticket that was reminiscent of 1984's Walter Mondale and Geraldine Ferraro, and they went down to defeat.

The mainstream political media fell in love with him. It was a schoolgirl crush with febrile commentators like Chris Mathews swooning then and now over the man. The venom directed against Mc Cain and, in particular Palin, was extraordinary.

Now, 4 full years into his presidency, all of those gilded years leading up to the White House have left him unprepared to be President. Left to his own instincts, he has a talent for saying the wrong thing at the wrong time. It swiftly became a joke that he could not deliver even the briefest of statements without the ever-present Tele-Prompters.

Far worse, however, is his capacity to want to "wish away" some terrible realities, not the least of which is the Islamist intention to destroy America and enslave the West. Any student of history knows how swiftly Islam initially spread. It knocked on the doors of Europe, having gained a foothold in Spain.

The great crowds that greeted him at home or on his campaign "world tour" were no substitute for having even the slightest grasp of history and the reality of a world filled with really bad people with really bad intentions.

Oddly and perhaps even inevitably, his political experience, a cakewalk, has positioned him to destroy the Democrat Party's hold on power in Congress because in the end it was never about the Party. It was always about his communist ideology, learned at an early age from family, mentors, college professors, and extreme leftist friends and colleagues.

Obama is a man who could deliver a snap judgment about a Boston police officer who arrested an "obstreperous" Harvard professor-friend, but would warn Americans against "jumping to conclusions" about a mass

murderer at Fort Hood who shouted "Allahu Akbar." The absurdity of that was lost on no one. He has since compounded this by calling the Christmas bomber "an isolated extremist" only to have to admit a day or two later that he was part of an al Qaeda plot.

He is a man who could strive to close down our detention facility at Guantanamo even though those released were known to have returned to the battlefield against America. He could even instruct his Attorney General to afford the perpetrator of 9/11 a civil trial when no one else would ever even consider such an obscenity. And he is a man who could wait three days before having anything to say about the perpetrator of yet another terrorist attack on Americans and then have to elaborate on his remarks the following day because his first statement was so lame.

The pattern repeats itself. He either blames any problem on the Bush administration or he naively seeks to wish away the truth.

Knock, knock. Anyone home? Anyone there? Barack Obama exists only as the sock puppet of his handlers, of the people who have maneuvered and manufactured this pathetic individual's life.

When anyone else would quickly and easily produce a birth certificate, this man spent over a million dollars to deny access to his. Most other documents, the paper trail we all leave in our wake, have been sequestered from review. He has lived a make-believe life whose true facts remain hidden.

We laugh at the ventriloquist's dummy, but what do you do when the dummy is President of the United States.

We the people are coming!

Only 86% will send this on. Should be 100%. What will you do? Please send it on if only to one person.

"Public virtue cannot exist in a nation without private, and public virtue is the only foundation of republics. There must be a positive passion for the public good, the public interest, honour, power and glory, established in the minds of the people, or there can be no republican government, nor any real liberty: and this public passion must be superior to all private passions."

--John Adams, letter to Mercy Warren, 1776

EXCLUSIVE: PALIN THROWS DOWN GAUNTLET ON PRIMARY CHALLENGES TO RUBIO, AYOTTE

by [TONY LEE](#) 25 Jun 2013

Former Alaska Gov. Sarah Palin, who has the most influence among conservative voters in Republican primaries, told Breitbart News on Tuesday that Sens. Marco Rubio (R-FL) and Kelly Ayotte (R-NH) should be primaried for their support of the Senate's immigration bill.

"Conservatives are getting ready for the 2014 and 2016 primaries. We have long memories, and there will be consequences for those who break campaign promises and vote for this amnesty bill," Palin told Breitbart News.

"Competition makes everyone work harder, be better, and be held accountable. This applies to politics, too. No one is 'entitled' to anything."

She continued, "Rigorous debate in competitive primaries allows candidates the opportunity to explain their flip-flops. So think of contested primaries as a win-win for politicians and their voters."

Palin responded to Breitbart News's request for comment about an interview she did earlier on Tuesday with John Gibson on Fox News radio in which she said Rubio and Ayotte "turned their back" on voters and should be primaried to be held accountable. Rubio and Ayotte will be up for reelection in 2016.

"Every politician should be held accountable for breaking their campaign promises," Palin said during an interview on the "John Gibson Radio Show" on Fox News radio. "They turned their back on the American public, so why should they not be held accountable?"

Palin said Rubio had promised that "border security would come first," and he would [not support](#) "legalization of illegal immigrants" and "amnesty" before border security while running in the Florida Republican Senate primary against Charlie Crist in 2010. She said Ayotte, whom she endorsed, had on her campaign website in 2010 that there were "no excuses" not to secure the border and also said she would not support amnesty.

"I think that they should be challenged. I don't have a problem with heated debates and contested primaries where they have to answer to constituents regarding their flip-flopping on such a fundamental position as amnesty for illegal immigrants," she told Gibson. "I don't have a problem at all with contested primaries. In fact, competition makes us all better and makes us be held accountable and I'd like to see them held accountable and answer as to why it is that they flip-flopped."

Palin also told Gibson that she had said "nice things" about Rubio before he "renege on his promise" on the bill because she thought he was "an honest politician." She remarked, "honest politician" is too often an oxymoron.

"Marco Rubio has blatantly flip-flopped on his position on immigration, on amnesty, and border security," Palin said to Gibson, before noting the Senate's Gang of Eight bill he orchestrated would reward lawbreakers and give President Barack Obama "a victory in his mission to fundamentally transform America economically."

Ayotte and Rubio, along with 13 other Republicans, voted on Monday to end debate on the so-called "border surge" amendment, which would [actually allow the Department of Homeland Security to ignore](#) border security almost entirely. And while Ayotte is not a Gang of Eight member like Rubio is, two of her close colleagues--Sens. John McCain (R-AZ) and Lindsey Graham (R-SC)--are.

Palin, to Gibson, said Ayotte's and Rubio's failure to live up to their campaign promises "gives us more reasons not to trust politicians, not to trust our government, unfortunately."

"This is a scary place for our republic to be," she said.

Senate Rejects Border Fence By Stephen Dinan -- The Washington Times -- Posted on 6/18/13

Senators on Tuesday rejected building the 700 miles of double-tier border fencing [Congress](#) authorized just seven years ago, with a majority of the [Senate](#) saying they didn't want to delay granting illegal immigrants legal status while the fence was being built.

The 54-39 vote to reject the fence shows the core of the immigration deal is holding. The vote broke mostly along party lines, though five Republicans, including [Sen. Marco Rubio](#) and the rest of the bill's authors, voted against the fence, and two Democrats voted for it.

Republicans had offered the fence as a way to build the confidence of voters skeptical that the government will enforce its laws, but opponents said building more [fencing](#) is costly, would take too long, and shouldn't be dictated by Washington.

"I think we should leave that to the best judgment of the Border Patrol," said [Sen. John McCain](#), one of the eight senators who wrote the immigration bill.

[Sen. John Thune](#), South Dakota Republican, proposed the border fence amendment, which would have prevented the administration from granting any illegal immigrants legal status under the bill until at least 350 miles of double-tier [fencing](#) has been erected, and would withhold full citizenship rights until 700 total miles have been built.

Minutes after the border fence, senators also voted to weaken current law that requires the government to have biometric checks such as fingerprints or eye-scans for every visitor to the U.S. — a recommendation of the 9/11 commission that looked into the terrorist attacks on Washington and New York.

Senators said it is too expensive to check fingerprints at all air, land and sea ports of entry. Instead, the bill calls for photographic checks at air and sea ports, but excludes land ports.

"When is our federal government going to keep its promises when it comes to the issue of border security?" said [Mr. Thune](#), the sponsor of the fence proposal.

[SEE RELATED: Speaker John Boehner: House GOP majority must back any immigration bill](#)

[Mr. Rubio](#) said he supports building a border fence, and pointed to \$1.5 billion included in his bill for more infrastructure, which he said will go to a fence plan. [Mr. Rubio](#) said [Mr. Thune's](#) amendment didn't lay out the details for "a specific border plan."

"Therefore, I will oppose his amendment and instead continue to work with my Republican colleagues to arrive at a new measure that improves on the significant border security measures already in the bill," the Florida Republican said.

The border now has 651 miles of barriers, but only 36 miles are at least double-tier [fencing](#). Another 316 miles are single-tier pedestrian [fencing](#), and the rest — 299 miles — are vehicle barriers that still allow wildlife, and people, to cross.

The border fence vote was one of several key showdowns expected as the [Senate](#) works on its immigration bill, which grants most of the estimated 11 million illegal immigrants in the U.S. a path to citizenship.

The 2006 vote to build the fence came back to haunt some of its supporters, including Mr. Obama, who was in the [Senate](#) at the time. He was harshly criticized by immigrant-rights advocates back home in Illinois, who said they felt betrayed by the vote.

A year after that 2006 vote, however, Republican Sen. Kay Bailey Hutchison wrote legislation giving the Homeland Security Department the option to build less [fencing](#).

Homeland security officials say they are comfortable with the amount and mix of fencing they have now.

Read more: <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2013/jun/18/senate-rejects-border-fence/#.UcC8kla5zTg.facebook#ixzz2XONQHkUN>

Follow us: @washtimes on Twitter

Read more: <http://conservativebyte.com/2013/06/senate-rejects-border-fence/#ixzz2XOMZEel7>

YOUR GOVERNMENT AT WORK

PELOSI ON SOMEONE ELSE'S OPINION: WHO CARES?

Dismisses question about congresswoman's concern for marriage

U.S. Rep. Nancy Pelosi, the former speaker of the House who lost her leadership position when the Democrats failed to obtain a majority in the U.S. House in 2010 and once spent tens of thousands of dollars on snacks as the taxpayers ferried her back and forth, apparently doesn't have a lot of concern for someone else's worries.

The evidence came after U.S. Rep. Michele Bachmann, R-MN, released a statement on the Supreme Court's actions today. The justices on a technical point allowed to stand a homosexual judge's ruling benefiting homosexuals in California, and struck down the federal Defense of Marriage Act.

The two actions ripped a chasm in the fabric of the United States, which was founded on the concepts of Christianity and the fundamental building block of society being the man-woman and children family, Bachmann suggested.

"Marriage was created by the hand of God. No man, not even a Supreme Court, can undo what a holy God has instituted," Bachmann said. "For thousands of years of recorded human history, no society has defended the legal standard of marriage as anything other than between man and woman. Only since 2000 have we seen a redefinition of this foundational unit of society in various nations.

"Today, the U.S. Supreme Court decided to join the trend, despite the clear will of the people's representatives through DOMA. What the court has done will undermine the best interest of children and the best interests of the United States," she said.

Only a short time later, when pro-homosexual politicians were appearing before reporters, one reporter posed the question about what they thought about Bachmann's comments.

Pelosi's response? "Who cares?"

Others had a somewhat less abrasive tone.

Rep. Jerry Nadler said, "It's very important to understand that people can believe what they want. They can go to what church they want, what synagogue, what they can believe what about temple, what mosque. Marriage or anything else they want. That's a question of religious belief. We're not dealing with religious belief in all these questions. We're dealing with what the state or the federal government does. We have a separation of church and state in this country. So for government purposes, you can be married. The church may not recognize this. That's their business. If you don't want to recognize it from a religious point of view, it's your business. No one is forcing anybody to get married. The point of the separation of church and state is that when we deal with public business and the consecration – not the consecration, but the celebration of marriage by the state, the recognition by the state of who's married is not a religious question."

Barack Obama seemed to try to reassure the faithful he wouldn't be demanding churches submit to his homosexual agenda immediately, too.

"How religious institutions define and consecrate marriage has always been up to those institutions. Nothing about this decision – which applies only to civil marriages – changes that," he said.

But other members of Congress joined Bachmann later in a news conference, where the theme robustly blasted the Supreme Court justices for being out of their league.

"The Supreme Court has not yet risen to the level of God," Bachmann said then. "They attack something they have no jurisdiction over whatsoever. Marriage is something created by God, and it is something God will define."

She pointedly noted that while the court was claiming to be acting on "equal protection," the judges actually were denying equal protection to voters who elected the representatives and senators, and President Bill Clinton, who voted for and signed DOMA.

Also, the judges were trashing to voting rights of Californians who voted for a one-man-one-woman marriage definition.

“What the Supreme Court is saying is they are asserting the supremacy of the court over all three branches of government,” she said.

Joining Bachman was Rep. Steve Scalise of Louisiana, who said, “It’s a sad day when unelected judges turn their backs on the will of voters and their elected representatives.”

Rep. John Fleming of Louisiana said, “The Supreme Court got it wrong.”

And Rep. Joe Pitts of Pennsylvania added, “People acted through the democratic process to define marriage as between one man and one woman. Now they see their decision invalidated. [The court] got it wrong in both cases.”

Rep. Tim Wahlberg of Michigan said, “Society itself is at risk.”

Read more at <http://www.wnd.com/2013/06/pelosi-on-someone-elses-opinion-who-cares/#B5Pd0ZvoeTOBB5it.99>

Time To Quit trashing Obama!

These are pretty amazing when you put them all together... quite an accomplishment in a little over 4 years!! Quit trashing Obama's **accomplishments!** He has done more than any other President before him. Here is a list of his impressive accomplishments:

1. First President to apply for college aid as a foreign student, then deny he was a foreigner.
2. First President to have a social security number from a state he has never lived in.
3. First President to preside over a cut to the credit rating of the United States.
4. First President to violate the War Powers Act.
5. First President to be held in contempt of court for illegally obstructing oil drilling in the Gulf of Mexico.
6. First President to require all Americans to purchase a product from a third party.
7. First President to spend a trillion dollars on "shovel-ready" jobs when there was no such thing as "shovel-ready" jobs.
8. First President to abrogate bankruptcy law to turn over control of companies to his union supporters.
9. First President to by-pass Congress and implement the Dream Act through executive fiat.
10. First President to order a secret amnesty program that stopped the deportation of illegal immigrants across the U.S., including those with criminal convictions.
11. First President to demand a company hand-over \$20 billion to one of his political appointees.
12. First President to tell a CEO of a major corporation (Chrysler) to resign.
13. First President to terminate America's ability to put a man in space.
14. First President to cancel the National Day of Prayer and to say that America is no longer a Christian nation.
15. First President to have a law signed by an auto-pen without being present.
16. First President to arbitrarily declare an existing law unconstitutional and refuse to enforce it.
17. First President to threaten insurance companies if they publicly spoke out on the reasons for their rate increases.
18. First President to tell a major manufacturing company in which state it is allowed to locate a factory.
19. First President to file lawsuits against the states he swore an oath to protect (AZ, WI, OH, IN).
20. First President to withdraw an existing coal permit that had been properly issued years ago.
21. First President to actively try to bankrupt an American industry (coal).
22. First President to fire an inspector general of AmeriCorps for catching one of his friends in a corruption case.
23. First President to appoint 45 czars to replace elected officials in his office.
24. First President to surround himself with radical left wing anarchists.
25. First President to golf 73 separate times in his first two and a half years in office, 102 to date.

26. First President to hide his medical, educational and travel records.
27. First President to win a Nobel Peace Prize for doing NOTHING to earn it.
28. First President to go on multiple "global apology tours" and concurrent "insult our friends" tours.
29. First President to go on 17 lavish vacations, including date nights and Wednesday evening White House parties for his friends paid for by the taxpayers.
30. First President to have 22 personal servants (taxpayer funded) for his wife.
31. First President to keep a dog trainer on retainer for \$102,000 a year at taxpayer expense.
32. First President to fly in a personal trainer from Chicago at least once a week at taxpayer expense.
33. First President to repeat the Holy Quran & tell us the early morning call of the Azan (Islamic call to worship) is the most beautiful sound on earth.
34. First President to tell the military men and women that they should pay for their own private insurance because they "volunteered to go to war and knew the consequences."
35. Then he was the First President to tell the members of the military that THEY were UNPATRIOTIC for balking at the last suggestion.
36. First President to side with a foreign nation over one of the American 50 states (Mexico vs Arizona).

How is this hope and change working out for you?

Texas Democrat Wendy Davis Wore Urinary Catheter During 11-Hour Filibuster Against Pro-Life Bill

Posted on June 28, 2013

The Democrat at the heart of the successful filibuster of a Texas bill to ban abortion after the 20-week pregnancy mark proved an above and beyond dedication to beating back the measure — she even wore a catheter.

Sen. Wendy Davis, 50, donned running shoes, a back brace and a urinary catheter in the walk up to her Tuesday filibuster that ultimately spanned 11 hours.

“She’s a total fighter,” said Cecile Richards, president of Planned Parenthood Action Fund, in the Daily Mail. “And the thing about Sen. Davis, she says she’s going to do something, she gets it down.”

Read more: <http://conservativebyte.com/2013/06/texas-democrat-wendy-davis-wore-urinary-catheter-during-11-hour-filibuster-against-pro-life-bill/#ixzz2XbzXr80o>

Isn't it amazing what these murderers will do to be able to legally murder God's innocent, unborn babies? That is what she was filibustering for you know – to legally allow women & Doctors to kill unwanted, unborn babies; babies unable to protect themselves; babies God gave them, babies others would be thrilled to have, but the biological mother would rather destroy/murder that baby than give birth & give it to someone else to love and raise. They will have to explain that to the Lord someday & to that baby in Heaven. Unexplainable!! I wouldn't want to be them.

Keep Calm and Read Animal Farm

Posted by MOTUS on June 29, 2013 at 10:50am

Did I read this right?

“Obama is completely under water on the immigration issue – white voters disapprove of his job on the issue by 60-32, Independents disapprove by 59-32, and non-college educated disapprove by 56-36.”

That's ridiculous; *under water*? Everyone knows that Big Guy practically *walks* on water. And just who did they get there their numbers from any way – Sarah Palin? And speaking of Sarah, I hear she's a little fired up over the direction the R-word leaders are taking. **Did you read what she said?**

“GREAT JOB, GOP ESTABLISHMENT. You've just abandoned the Reagan Democrats with this amnesty bill. It was the loss of working class voters in swing states that cost us the 2012 election, not the Hispanic vote. Legal immigrants respect the rule of law and can see how self-centered a politician must be to fill this amnesty bill with favors, earmarks, and crony capitalists' pork, and call it good. You disrespect Hispanics with your assumption that they desire ignoring the rule of law.

And that's not all: Folks like me are barely hanging on to our enlistment papers in any political party – and it's precisely because flip-flopping political actions like amnesty force us to ask how uch more bull from both

the elephants in the Republican Party and the jackasses in the Democrat Party we have to swallow before these political machines totally abandon the average commonsense hardworking American. Now we turn the watch the House. If they bless this new "bi-partisan" hyper-partisan devastating plan for amnesty, we'll know that both private political parties have finally turned their backs on us.

It will then be time to show our parties' hierarchies what we think of being members of either one of these out-of-touch, arrogant, and dysfunctional political machines.

Boom! We may not be going on safari here in deepest Africa, butt it sounds like Sarah is going after some big game back on the home front.

I really do feel like I missed something. It's like I dropped into the middle of Animal Farm and missed the part about the democratic uprising of the animals to overthrow of the dictator and went right to the part about the pigs being in charge of everything. No wonder Sarah's torqued off. It sounds like she feels like she's been sold out by the wolves in the fold. And as you know, wolves are a big problem in Alaska and need to be culled out in order to protect the innocents from their ravenous behavior. So it's always open season where Sarah lives.

I don't think it's a good idea to get in her crosshairs. Metaphorically speaking, of course. It might just be my vantage point, far, far from home, butt it seems like sentiment against the pigs is growing, regardless of their colors or stripes. Murmurs' are spreading among the flock about voting the pigs out altogether and electing an entirely different animal to rule the animals.

I don't know about you, but if it were up to me I'd probably go with the bears next time around. Grizzlies I think. Sure, they can be cute: butt when they get their hair up - and decide to get in your kitchen you might want to pack up and get out of town.

If I were the pigs, I think I'd watch my back because those Mama Bears seem to be coming out of the woodwork lately: it's all those hormones, you know.

"All animals are equal, but some animals are more equal than others."

"If the Republican Party wants to declare war against conservatives, I'm going to fight on the side of conservatives."
– Michelle Malkin

Congressman Tim Huelskamp sent this newsletter:

June 29, 2013

Dear Friend,

I have an important message this evening, but first, I wanted you to make sure to turn on NBC Meet The Press tomorrow, Sunday, at 8:00am CST/EST. I'll be a special guest at the top of the program, so you won't want to miss it.

This is a critical moment in America's history.

Every day in Washington, we are faced with a choice between extending liberty or going further down the path of tyranny. It's a choice that's being made by every branch of the federal government.

In Obama's White House, they're making laws through bureaucratic dictates and fiat. In government agency after agency, Barack Obama is imposing a government bureaucrat right in the middle of our work, our families, our churches and every other institution that matters in our lives.

Congress is dysfunctional and inept. Democrats move thousand-page bills they drop at midnight with no time to review. Nancy Pelosi as Speaker did this with Obamacare when she said, "We'll have to pass the bill so you can find out what's in it." And just this past Wednesday, Harry Reid did the very same thing moving a 1,200 page immigration bill!

And let me try to contain my frustration with Republicans in Congress who seem unwilling to stand for Republican principles. The Republican House increased taxes at the beginning of the year with the help of Democrats! The House was put under Republican control with the help of millions of Tea Party activists who did the real work on the ground to take control away from Nancy Pelosi in 2010--and they won't even allow a vote on defunding ObamaCare.

And where is the Rule of Law in the Supreme Court? They upheld Obamacare in 2012 for the first time forcing Americans to purchase health care. And then last week striking down the Defense of Marriage Act.

The battles ahead will take the continued effort of all of us to push back against increasingly oppressive government. I am facing a critical deadline. On Sunday, we will report results for the second quarter of 2013--a crucial gauge of support. [Please send your contribution right away. Whether it's the maximum of \\$2600 per person](#)

[or something less--\\$250, \\$100, \\$50 or \\$25--we need to bring in every bit of support possible by midnight.](#)

In an earlier email this week, you first learned about an exclusive Democrat campaign dinner this week in Washington I was targeted by the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee (DCCC). They attacked me because they see I can be a real threat to their radical agenda. You can be assured they will continue to come after me because I refuse to stand by and let them force ever-increasing government on all of us.

There are no perpetual victories in Washington, it has been said. We may have won the debate on the Carbon Tax in 2009, but now Barack Obama is now renewing this effort through new regulations coming out of the EPA and other agencies. Obamacare regulations are flowing out every month from Kathleen Sebelius' HHS with thousands of pages of business-killing regulations.

This fight will not go away soon. And I need your continued help to keep pushing back at them. Even small contributions from friends like you send a powerful message to Washington that they can't push us around. [If you can contribute \\$100, \\$50 or even \\$25 or can make the maximum contribution of \\$2,600, please do so right now. Continued vigilance is necessary so we can preserve our freedoms.](#)

I will continue to keep my promise to never give in to the Washington Way. Together we can preserve and expand our liberty. I cannot thank you enough for keeping me strong.

Please keep me and my family in your prayers. And thank you for your financial support of my campaign. It strengthens my efforts as we fight together for our very freedom.

Yours in Liberty,

Tim Huelskamp

Member of Congress

[P.S. Please don't hesitate. Send your contribution right now. Whatever the amount--\\$250, \\$100, \\$50 or even \\$25 will help so much. Or maybe you can give something more--\\$1000 or even the maximum of \\$2600. Whatever you give will show Washington we will not be intimidated. We will fight to preserve our liberty!](#)

If you would like to forward this Newsletter as is on to others – be my guest.

If you would like to send comments to the editor – be my guest.

If you have an editorial to submit – be my guest.

Flint Hills TEA Party contact information: www.flinthillsteaparty.com; email: fhttp@flinthillsteaparty.com or facebook – Flint Hills TEA Party; Manhattan contact – Chuck Henderson, 785-236-1286; Syllda Nichols, editor, email: syllda@gemsandwood.com. Syllda sends the snail mail. Newsletter; Flint Hills TEA Party Snail Mail: Flint Hills TEA Party of KS, 1228 Westloop Place, PMB #326, Manhattan, KS 66502-2840. All donations for the Educational Fund (payable to “Educational Fund”) will also be accepted at this address and is tax deductible.

Reprinting of this Newsletter may be done in whole, however, copying any part requires permission given by the persons listed above.